UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,017	04/20/2005	Gunter Fuhr	B1180/20035	5994
	7590 01/14/200 ISE, BERNSTEIN,	EXAMINER		
COHEN & POR	KOTILOW, LTD.	ALI, MOHAMMAD M		
1635 MARKET	SEVEN PENN CENT FSTREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103-2212		3744	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/14/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@crbcp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/532,017	FUHR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	MOHAMMAD M. ALI	3/44	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cl periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a)	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth it ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the strength in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tension and the corresponding amount on tension and the correct of the c	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIMENTS. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	wing to the data of filing a brief	وما لومسواهم وما الوس	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	er form for appear by materially rec	idenig or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	•	·	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Fig. 1)	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.			
	/Mohammad M Ali/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3744	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argument that transfer of any data is one way only, from switch 66 to the solenoid 63 is anot acceptable beacause there is no direct communication between the switch 66 and the solenoid 63. Any communicato from switch 66 goes to the solenoid 63 goes via relay 69. Therefore, it transpires that relay 69 receives some some communication from switch 66 and transmits to the solenoid 63 for axecution a desired function at a specific point. It is also mentioned that a relay station or relay can comprise a data storage circuit, channel switching circuit return circuit etc. For evidentiqary reference, see Fig. 1 of US Pat.5,331,633 to Ari, column2, lines 58-67. For further evidentiary reference see Para [0026] in page 2 of Patent Application Publication US 20030028660 A1 to Igawa et al. wherein it is stated that Fig. 6 shows a structure of data storage section of the relay apparatus. Further to the remarks of relation beteen the duct cable 82 and the relay 69, the environment staus of the chamber 15 is communicated by the thermo regulator switch 66. The duct coil being the same chamber 15 is on the same environment of chamber 15. Therefore, it is the same that the environment of chamber 15 and the environment of duct coil 82 and it is important that duct coil 82 is using the environment of chamber 15 and it is not unjustified that when the environment of chamber 15 is controlled, the environment of duct. The duct 82 is directly connected to the oil sample container 80. Therefore, rejections are ok.